From:
To: SizewellC
Subject: Sizewell C

Date: 09 October 2021 09:40:03

I write to oppose the construction of Sizewell C

There are many reasons to oppose Sizewell C. But I note particularly that after all the time spent on this project, they have finally realised that there is a lack of a secured water supply, and therefore the impact of a water desalination plant during construction. This shows an unimpressive grasp of practicalities.

The coastal defences. The sea is strong and unpredictable. Why risk this further?

Mr. Johnson promises "to commit his party to plans to hugely increase investment in renewable and nuclear energy". Nuclear energy is not green. The waste remains radioactive for 100,000 years. It is not renewable as uranium is a depleting source. Is this the legacy the government wishes to leave to future generations?

The EPR reactor is still UNPROVEN TECHNOLOGY. Has the government an answer to this? At huge cost and rising is this worth the risk?

There are other options for the government such as small Modular reactors and revived discussions on Wylfa.

There are credible affordable energy models (eg Energy Systems Catapult, Imperial and even by National Grid and the Climate change Committee)

Suffolk has become a successful farming/food producer and an excellent tourist attraction. Many jobs will be LOST as a result of the years of traffic disruption, in the hotel and hospitality industry. Minsmere will be very badly affected.

At the end there will only be c 900 permanent jobs on offer.

Germany said no more nuclear after Fukushima. Think of the possible catastrophes ahead before you take a hasty decision

The UK has shown it has innovators of excellence in it's creation of Covid vaccines. Let it turn it's attention to innovative renewable energy

Yours sincerely Claire Lyth

